

Logic and the Methodology of Science

November 2003 Preliminary Exam

August 23, 2005

1. Let \mathcal{L} be a first-order language. We say that the \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{M} is *pseudofinite* if for every \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ if $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi$, then there is a finite \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{N} with $\mathfrak{N} \models \phi$.

Show that if \mathfrak{M} is pseudofinite and $f : M \rightarrow M$ is an \mathcal{L}_M -definable function from the universe of \mathfrak{M} back to itself and f is surjective, then f is bijective. [NB: f may be defined with parameters from M .]

2.

(a) Show that there is a Δ_2^0 total function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that whenever g is a partial recursive function on ω , then $\exists n \forall m \geq n (m \in \text{dom}(g) \rightarrow g(m) \leq f(m))$.

(b) Show that if f is as in part (a), then every r.e. set is recursive in f .

3. Let \mathcal{L} be the first order language having one n -ary relation symbol R_X for each natural number n and set $X \subseteq \omega^n$, and no other nonlogical symbols. Let \mathfrak{A} be the \mathcal{L} structure with universe ω such that $R_X^{\mathfrak{A}} = X$ for all X .

(a) Show that if \mathfrak{B} is a reduct of \mathfrak{A} to a countable sublanguage of \mathcal{L} containing $R_{<}$, then the theory of \mathfrak{B} is not ω -categorical. (Here $<$ is the usual order on ω .)

(b) Show that the theory of \mathfrak{A} itself is ω -categorical.

4.

(a) Outline a proof that the theory of rings is not decidable.

(b) Show that the set \mathcal{V} of all valid formulae in the language of rings is not recursive.

5. Let T be axiomatizable; that is, let T be an r.e. theory in a recursive language \mathcal{L} . In the language of Peano arithmetic (PA), let φ be a sentence which naturally expresses that T has a finite model. Suppose $\text{PA} \cup \{\varphi\}$ is consistent. Show that T has a model.

6.

- (a) Show that there is no r.e. set $A \subseteq \omega^2$ such that $\{A_n \mid n \in \omega\} = \{B \subseteq \omega \mid B \text{ is infinite and recursive}\}$. (Here $A_n = \{m \mid (n, m) \in A\}$.)
- (b) Show that there is a r.e. set $A \subseteq \omega^2$ such that $\{A_n \mid n \in \omega\} = \{B \subseteq \omega \mid B \text{ is recursive}\}$.

7.

- (a) Let $f: \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be total and recursive. Show that there is a total recursive $g: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that

$$W_{g(n)} = W_{f(n, g(n))}$$

for all $n \in \omega$. (Here W_e is the e^{th} r.e. set in some standard enumeration.)

- (b) Let $A = \{W_e \mid \{e\} = W_e\}$. Show that for all r.e. sets B, C there is a total recursive function f such that for all $n \in \omega$, $n \in (B \setminus C)$ iff $f(n) \in A$.

8. Let \mathcal{L} be a countable language with no function or constant symbols. Recall that a sentence is *universal* if it is of the form $(\forall x_1) \cdots (\forall x_n) \theta(\vec{x})$ where θ is quantifier-free. Recall also that a sequence $\langle a_i \mid i \in \omega \rangle$ of elements of $M = |\mathfrak{M}|$ is *indiscernible* for \mathfrak{M} if for any natural number n , any \mathcal{L} -formula $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and pair of increasing n -tuples $i_1 < \cdots < i_n$ and $j_1 < \cdots < j_n$ of natural numbers, we have $\mathfrak{M} \models \psi[a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}]$ iff $\mathfrak{M} \models \psi[a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_n}]$.

- (a) Show that if φ is a universal sentence of \mathcal{L} and φ has an infinite model, then φ has a model \mathfrak{M} such that $|\mathfrak{M}| = \{a_n \mid n < \omega\}$, for some sequence $\langle a_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ which is indiscernible for \mathfrak{M} .
- (b) Show that if \mathcal{L} is recursive, then

$$\{\varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a universal sentence which has an infinite model}\}$$

is recursive.

9. Let \mathcal{L} be a countable language having a unary predicate symbol P , and possibly other nonlogical symbols. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be countable, ω -homogeneous \mathcal{L} -structures which realize the same types. Suppose that $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$, that $\mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{B}$, and that $P^{\mathfrak{A}} = P^{\mathfrak{B}}$. Show that there is a \mathfrak{C} such that $\mathfrak{B} \prec \mathfrak{C}$ and $P^{\mathfrak{C}} = P^{\mathfrak{B}}$, and the universe of \mathfrak{C} has cardinality ω_1 .

[Recall that a structure \mathfrak{A} is ω -homogeneous iff whenever $n < \omega$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ and

$$(\mathfrak{A}, a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv (\mathfrak{A}, b_1, \dots, b_n),$$

then there is an automorphism π of \mathfrak{A} such that $\pi(a_i) = b_i$ for all $i \leq n$.]