Free Logic: its formalization and some applications

Dana S. Scott, FBA, FNAS

Distinguished Research Associate, UC Berkeley Department of Philosophy

> UC Berkeley Logic Colloquium 8 March 2019

What is "Free Logic"?

"Free logic" is logic free of existential presuppositions in general and with respect to singular terms in particular.

To be discussed:

Formalization Empty domains Descriptions Virtual classes Structures with partial functions, and Models (classical and intuitionistic)

Prof. J. Karel Lambert (Emeritus, UC Irvine) gave the subject its name and its profile as a well defined field of research some 60 years ago:

Karel Lambert. "Notes on E!." *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 9 (1958), pp. 60-63.

Karel Lambert. "Singular Terms and Truth." *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 10 (1959), pp. 1-5.

Lambert's Collections (I)



Karel Lambert (ed.) **The Logical Way of Doing Things**, Yale University Press, 1969, xiii + 325 pp.



Karel Lambert (ed.) Philosophical Problems in Logic: Some Recent Developments,D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1970, vi + 176 pp.

Lambert's Collections (II)



Karel Lambert (ed.) **Philosophical Applications of Free Logic**, Oxford University Press, 1991, x + 309 pp. Edgar Morscher, Alexander Hieke (eds.) **New Essays in Free Logic: In Honor of Karel Lambert**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001, vii + 255 pp.

Lambert's Collections (III)



CONTENTS

- 1. Russell's Version of the Theory of Definite Descriptions
- 2. Existential Import, 'E!' and 'The'
- The Reduction of Two Paradoxes and the Significance Thereof
- 4. The Hilbert-Bernays Theory of Definite Descriptions
- Foundations of the Hierarchy of Positive Free Definite Description Theories
- 6. Predication and Extensionality
- 7. Nonextensionality
- 8. The Philosophical Foundations of Free Logic
- 9. Logical Truth and Microphysics

Karel Lambert. **Free Logic: Selected Essays**, Cambridge University Press, 2003, xii + 191 pp.

Lambert's Collections (IV)



CONTENTS

Karel Lambert. Speaking Freely Karel Lambert. Extensionality, Bivalence and Singular Terms like "The Greatest Natural Number" Karel Lambert. The Karel Lambert. Dialogue with Edgar Morscher Peter M. Simons. *Higher-Order Logic–Free of Ontological Commitments* Edgar Morscher. The Trouble with Existentials, in particular with Singular Existentials Edgar Morscher. Should the Quantifiers in Free Logic be allowed to lose their Existential Import? A Systematic and Historical Survey of Free Logic Annotated Bibliography of Works of Free Logic

Karel Lambert, Edgar Morscher and Peter M. Simons.

Reflections on Free Logic,

Mentis Verlag, Münster, 2017, 149 pp.

Scott's Presumptuousness



Contributions by:

A.J. Ayer Max Born Erich Fromm Georg Kreisel Linus Pauling Hilary Putnam Herbert Read Maria Reichenbach Rev. Michael Scott Werner Bloch C. D. Broad Aldous Huxley Constance Malleson Victor Purcell, CMG W. V. Quine Hans Reichenbach Dana Scott I. F. Stone

Julian Trevelyan

Ralph Schoenman (ed.) Bertrand Russell, Philosopher of the Century: Essays in his Honour, George Allen & Unwin LTD, 1967, 326 pp.

Selected Scott Writings

Dana Scott. "Existence and description in formal logic." In: **Bertrand Russell: Philosopher of the Century**, Ralph Schoenman (ed.), George Allen & Unwin, London, 1967, pp. 181–200. Reprinted with additions in: **Philosophical Applications of Free Logic**, K. Lambert (ed.), Oxford Universitry Press, 1991, pp. 28–48.

Alonzo Church. Review of the above. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 38 (1973), pp. 166-169.

Dana Scott. "Extending the topological interpretation to intuitionistic analysis." Part I: **Composito Mathematica**, vol. 20 (1968), 194-210. Part II. In: **Intuitionism and Proof Theory** (eds. Myhill et al.), North-Holland, 1970, pp. 235-255.

Dana Scott. "Identity and existence in intuitionistic logic." In: **Applications of Sheaves, Durham Proceedings 1977**, M. Fourman and D. Scott (eds.), Springer-Verlag, LNM 753 (1979), pp. 660–696.

Michael Fourman and Dana Scott. "Sheaves and logic." In: **Applications of Sheaves, Durham Proceedings 1977**, Fourman and Scott (eds.), Springer-Verlag, LNM, vol. 753 (1979), pp. 302–401.

Dana Scott. "The Algebraic Interpretation of Quantifiers: Intuitionistic and Classical." In: **Seventy Years of Foundational Studies: A Tribute to Andrzej Mostowski**, A. Ehrenfeucht et al. (eds.), IOS Press, 2008. pp. 289–312.

These papers, together with the talk slides and other background materials, have been put online via:

http://logic.berkeley.edu/past-events.html

Inner and Outer Domain Conventions



Bound variables range over E (which may be empty).
Free variables range over D containing E (and is non empty).
If desired, a special object ★ can be designated in D \ E.
The equivalence relation = is the identity on E but collapses D \ E.

Rules for Variables and Quantifiers

(Sub)	$\frac{\Phi(x)}{\Phi(\tau)}$
(UI)	$\forall x \boldsymbol{.} \Phi(x) \land \textbf{E} x \Longrightarrow \Phi(x)$
(UG)	$\frac{\Phi \wedge E x \Longrightarrow \Psi(x)}{\Phi \Longrightarrow \forall x \boldsymbol{.} \Psi(x)}$
(EI)	$\Phi(x) \land \mathbf{E} x \Longrightarrow \exists x . \Phi(x)$
(EG)	$\frac{\Phi(x) \wedge \mathbf{E} x \Longrightarrow \Psi}{\exists x \cdot \Phi(x) \Longrightarrow \Psi}$

In the above x is a free variable and τ is any well formed term. Substitution always has to be done under the condition that no free variables are captured.

	Axioms for Equality with Collapsing
(Rep)	$\Phi(x) \land x \equiv y \Longrightarrow \Phi(y)$
(Comp)	$\forall z [x \equiv z \iff y \equiv z] \Longrightarrow x \equiv y$
	Easily Proved Conclusions
(Refl)	$x \equiv x$
(Sym)	$x \equiv y \Longrightarrow y \equiv x$
(Trans)	$x \equiv y \land y \equiv z \Longrightarrow x \equiv z$
(Exist)	$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} \iff \exists \mathbf{y} \ [\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{y}]$
(ld)	$x = y \iff Ex \land Ex \land x \equiv y$ (by definition)
(Equ)	$x \equiv y \iff [[\mathbf{E}x \lor \mathbf{E}y] \Longrightarrow x = y]$

If the collapse of $D \setminus E$ is not desired, then just use the ordinary identity rules for = over the whole of D without (Comp).

Axioms for Definite Descriptions

(Desc)	$y \equiv I \Phi(x) \iff \forall x [x \equiv y \iff \Phi(x)]$
--------	---

Axioms without Collapse

- $(\text{Desc}) \qquad \qquad \forall y \, [\, y = I \cdot \Phi(x) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \, [\, x = y \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(x) \,]]$
- (Star) $\neg \exists y \forall x [x = y \iff \Phi(x)] \Longrightarrow I_{\bullet} \Phi(x) = \star$

Axioms for Strict Predicates and Total Functions

- (StrP) $P(x,y) \Longrightarrow [Ex \land Ey]$
- (StrF) $E f(x,y) \Longrightarrow [Ex \land Ey]$
- (TotF) $[Ex \land Ey] \Longrightarrow Ef(x,y)$

Note that when predicates or functions have several parameters, then strictness conditions can be mixed and apply only to some.

Axioms for Virtual Classes

Convention: No collapse of D \ E allowed !!.

(Ext)
$$\forall z [z \in x \iff z \in y] \Longrightarrow x = y$$

This means everything is a class.

(Abs)
$$y \in \{ x \mid \Phi(x) \} \iff Ey \land \Phi(y)$$

This implies abstraction binds only to existing things.

Easily Proved Conclusions

- (Self) $\{ x \mid x \in y \} = y$
- $(Mem) x \in y \Longrightarrow Ex$

(Equ)
$$\forall x [\Phi(x) \Leftrightarrow \Psi(x)] \Longrightarrow \{ x | \Phi(x) \} = \{ x | \Psi(x) \}$$

(Rus) $\neg \mathsf{E} \{ x \mid \neg x \in x \}$

If atoms are desired, assume they are of the form $\{a\} = a$. Puzzle: Can such atoms be non-existents?

Axioms for Partial Combinatory Algebras

(Appl)	$E x(y) \Longrightarrow [Ex \land Ey]$
(K)	Εκ $\land \forall x$. Εκ(x)
(S)	E S ∧ ∀x, y . E S(x)(y)
(K≡)	$\mathbf{E} \; \mathbf{y} \Longrightarrow \; \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}) \equiv \mathbf{x}$
(S≡)	$S(x)(y)(z) \equiv x(z)(y(z))$

Example: x(y) is defined as {x}(y). (Kleene's PCA)

Theorem. Not every partial combinatory algebra can be extended to a total combinatory algebra, but the Kleene PCA is extendable — with the right choice of S and K.

Inge Bethke, Jan Willem Klop, and Roel De Vrijer.

"Completing partial combinatory algebras with unique head-normal forms." **IEEE LICS Proceedings** (1996), pp. 448–454.

"Extending partial combinatory algebras."

Mathematical Structures in Compter Science, vol. 9 (1999), pp. 483–505.

Ω -sets and Intuitionistic Logic

Definition. A complete lattice Ω is a *complete Heyting algebra* (cHa) iff it has an *implication* operation \rightarrow , where always $(p \land q) \leq r$ iff $p \leq (q \rightarrow r)$.

Note: This condition is equivalent to distributing *finite* meets over *all* joins. **Example:** The *open subsets* of any topological space always form a cHa.

Definition. An Ω -set is a structure **A** together with an Ω -valued equality, $[\cdot = \cdot], \text{ where, for all } a, b, \text{ and } c \text{ in A we have:}$ $[a = b] = [b = a] \text{ and } ([a = b] \land [b = c]) \leq [a = c].$ Additionally we define:

 $\llbracket \mathbf{E}a \rrbracket = \llbracket a = a \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket a \equiv b \rrbracket = (\llbracket \mathbf{E}x \rrbracket \lor \llbracket \mathbf{E}y \rrbracket) \rightarrow \llbracket a = b \rrbracket$.

Theorem. Extending the $[\cdot]$ -notation to all logical formulae over any Ω -set validates all the laws of Free Intuitionistic Logic.

Complete Ω -sets and Total Elements

Definition. A *total element* of an Ω -set A is an a in A where $[a = a] = \top$

Definition. A *singleton* for an Ω -set A is a mapping $s: A \longrightarrow \Omega$, where for all a, b in A we have $(s(a) \land [[a = b]]) \leq s(b)$ and $(s(a) \land s(b)) \leq [[a = b]]$.

Example: Given c in A, then the function s(a) = [a = c] is a singleton. **Example:** The *constant function* $s(a) = \bot$ is also a singleton.

Definition. A *complete* Ω -*set* is a structure A where for every singleton s: A $\longrightarrow \Omega$ there is a *unique* a in A where, for all b in A, s(b) = [[a = b]].

Example: The *constant function* \perp gives us one *totally non-existent* element \bigstar .

Note: Complete Ω -sets may have many elements with a partial degree of existence but also have no total elements.

The Covering-Space Example



 $p: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow S^1$ is a *local homeomorphism*.

 $\Omega = Open(S^1)$

 $\mathsf{A} = \{ \, a : \, \mathsf{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \mathsf{U} \in \Omega \ \& \ p \, \circ \, a = \, \mathsf{Id}_{\mathsf{U}} \, \}$

$$[[a < b]] = \{ t \in dom(a) \cap dom(b) | a(t) < b(t) \}$$

 $S^1 \quad \text{[[} Ea \text{]]} = U = dom(a) \text{ is } never \text{ be the whole of } S^1.$

For further examples see the *Fourman-Scott paper* and also:

Saunders Mac Lane and leke Moerdijk. "Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory." Springer Verlag, 1992, xii + 627 pp.

John L. Bell. "The Continuous and the Infinitesimal in Mathematics and Philosophy." Polimetrica, Milano, 2005, 352 pp.

Mac Lane's First Category Theory Axioms

MLO.	$E(x \mathrel{\circ} y) \Longrightarrow [\; E \; x \mathrel{\wedge} E \; y \;]$
ML1.	$\textbf{E}((z \mathrel{\circ} y) \mathrel{\circ} x) \Longrightarrow \textbf{E}(y \mathrel{\circ} x)$
ML2.	$\textbf{E}(z \mathrel{\circ} (y \mathrel{\circ} x)) \Longrightarrow \textbf{E}(z \mathrel{\circ} y)$
ML3.	$[\mathbf{E}(z \circ y) \land \mathbf{E}(y \circ x)] \Longrightarrow ((z \circ y) \circ x) = (z \circ (y \circ x))$
ML4.	$\forall x \exists d [ID(d) \land E(x \circ d)]$
ML5.	$\forall x \exists c [ID(c) \land E(c \circ x)]$

Definition. $ID(y) \iff \forall x [[E(x \circ y) \Longrightarrow x \circ y = x] \land [E(y \circ x) \Longrightarrow y \circ x = x]]$

Remark: Compare these axioms to a *monoid* with a *unit element*.

Saunders Mac Lane. "Groups, categories and duality." **Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences**, vol. 34 (1948), pp. 263–267.

Remark: Later definitions of a category were much more relaxed.

Freyd and Scedrov's Category Theory Axioms

FS0.	$E(x \circ y) \iff dom \ x = cod \ y$
FS1.	$cod(dom x) \equiv dom x$
FS2.	$dom(cod y) \equiv cod y$
FS3.	x
FS4.	(cod y) ○ y ≡ y
FS5.	$\mathbf{dom}(\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \equiv \mathbf{dom}((\mathbf{dom} \ \mathbf{x}) \circ \mathbf{y})$
FS6.	$cod(x \circ y) \equiv cod(x \circ (cod y))$
FS7.	$x \mathrel{\circ} (y \mathrel{\circ} z) \equiv (x \mathrel{\circ} y) \mathrel{\circ} z$

Peter J. Freyd and Andre Ščedrov. "**Categories, Allegories.**" North-Hollnd Elsevier, 1990, xvii + 296 pp.

Remark: In the book, axiom **FS0** was *misstated* with = instead of =

Scott's Category Theory Axioms

$E(dom x) \Longrightarrow E x$

- **S2.** $E(\operatorname{cod} y) \Longrightarrow E y$
- **S3.** $E(x \circ y) \iff dom \ x = cod \ y$
- **S4.** $\mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{dom} \ \mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{x}$
- **S5.** $(\operatorname{cod} y) \circ y \equiv y$
- **S6.** $\mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) \equiv (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}$

Remark: Axioms are as presented in the Fourman-Scott paper. But see the recent papers by Scott and Benzmüller about proofs.

"Automating Free Logic in Isabelle/HOL. In: **Mathematical Software (ICMS 2016)**, Springer, LNCS, vol. 9725 (2016), pp. 43-50.

"Axiom Systems for Category Theory in Free Logic." Archive of Formal Proofs, 2018.

"Automating Free Logic in HOL, with an Experimental Application in Category Theory." **Journal of Automated Reasoning**, 2019. (online & in press), 11 pp.